

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

RICK RAHIM,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

EARLY WARNING SERVICES, LLC

*Defendant.*

Civil Action No.: 1:24-cv-2148

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441, and 1446, Defendant Early Warning Services, LLC (“EWS”), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby removes Case No. GV24023333-00 in the General District Court for Fairfax County, Virginia (the “State Court Action”) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division. Removal of the State Court Action to this Court is proper for the following reasons:

**I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND**

1. On or about October 24, 2024, Plaintiff Rick Rahim (“Plaintiff”) filed a Warrant in Debt (the “Complaint”) in the General District Court for Fairfax County, Virginia, styled *Rick Rahim v. Early Warning Services LLC*, Case No. GV24023333-00.
2. A true and correct copy of all process and pleadings served and/or filed in the State Court Action are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
3. EWS is unaware of any additional pleadings in the State Court Action.

4. EWS received a copy of the Complaint on October 28, 2024.
5. This Notice is being filed within 30 days after EWS's receipt of a copy of the initial pleadings setting forth the claim for relief upon which the action is based, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
6. Specifically, in the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges "Violations of the [Fair Credit Reporting Act], and [Virginia Consumer Protection Act]." The Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §1681 *et seq.* is a federal statute.

## **II. GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL**

### **A. Federal Question Jurisdiction**

7. Federal courts have original jurisdiction of all cases that arise under federal law, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and such cases are explicitly within this Court's removal jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) (providing that the courts have removal jurisdiction for any action in which the district courts have original jurisdiction founded on a claim or right arising under the Constitution, treaties, or laws of the United States).

8. The FCRA is a federal statute, and the basis of Plaintiff's claim is that EWS committed a "[v]iolation" of this federal statute.

9. EWS's position is that it did not violate any federal or state law as it relates to Plaintiff.

10. The parties' claims and defenses thus rest in part on an interpretation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* Therefore, this case explicitly concerns federal law.

### **B. Supplemental Jurisdiction**

11. In addition to his federal claims, Plaintiff also asserts that EWS committed a "[v]iolation" of the Virginia Debt Collection Act, Va. Code § 58.2-196 *et seq.*

12. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's state law claim stems from the same operative facts and form part of the same case or controversy as his federal claims. Thus, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over that state claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a) ("in any civil action of which the district courts have original jurisdiction, the district courts shall have supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims that are so related to claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy").

### **III. COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS**

13. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a true and correct copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon EWS from the State Court Action are attached hereto as **Exhibit A.** Upon information and belief, no other related process, pleadings, or orders have been served upon EWS.

14. This Notice of Removal is timely, as it was filed within thirty (30) days of receipt of the initial pleading and within one year of the commencement of this action as required by 29 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Specifically, EWS received the Complaint via mail on October 28, 2024. *See Exhibit A.*

15. Upon review of the Court's electronic filing system, no previous Notice of Removal has been filed or made with this Court for the relief sought herein.

16. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, is the federal judicial district encompassing the General District Court for Fairfax County, Virginia, where this suit is currently pending. Venue is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

17. Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), EWS will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the General District Court for Fairfax County, Virginia,

and will serve a copy upon Plaintiff. A copy of the Notice of Filing Notice of Removal is attached hereto as **Exhibit B.**

18. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1441, the present lawsuit may be removed from the General District Court for Fairfax County, Virginia and brought before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division.

19. There are no co-defendants in this matter, and thus 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2) is inapplicable.

#### **IV. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

20. EWS denies any and all allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint or in any other filing in the State Court Action, and files this Notice of Removal without waiving any defenses, objections, exceptions, or obligations that may exist in its favor in either state or federal court.

21. Further, in making the allegations in this Notice of Removal, EWS does not concede in any way that the allegations in the Complaint are properly raised against EWS, that Plaintiff has asserted claims upon which relief can be granted, or that recovery of any of the amounts sought is authorized or appropriate.

22. EWS reserves the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal. And, in this regard, if any questions arise as to the propriety of the removal of the State Court Action, EWS expressly requests the opportunity to present a brief, oral argument, and/or any further evidence necessary in support of its position that this action is removable.

WHEREFORE, having met all the requirements for removal, Defendant Early Warning Services, LLC respectfully removes this case from the General District Court for Fairfax County,

Virginia to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, and seeks whatever further relief this Court deems equitable and just.

Date: November 27, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Zachary A. Turk

Zachary A. Turk (VSB No. 97266)  
TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON  
SANDERS LLP  
401 9<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 3000  
Washington, DC 20004  
Telephone: 202.274.1952  
Facsimile: 202.274.2994  
Email: zachary.turk@troutman.com  
*Counsel for Defendant Early Warning Services,  
LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 27th day of November, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Notice of Removal* was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF electronic filing system and sent via Federal Express to the *Pro Se Plaintiff*:

Rick Rahim  
634 River Bend Road  
Great Falls, VA 22066  
*Pro Se Plaintiff*

/s/ Zachary A. Turk

Zachary A. Turk (VSB No. 97266)  
TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON  
SANDERS LLP  
401 9<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 3000  
Washington, DC 20004  
Telephone: 202.274.1952  
Facsimile: 202.274.2994  
Email: zachary.turk@troutman.com  
*Counsel for Defendant Early Warning Services,  
LLC*